EXHIBIT 1

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 197-2 Filed 02/16/16 Page 2 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                       SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
 5
     CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
                                 )
                                 )
 6
                   Plaintiff,
                                ) Case No.
 7
                                 ) 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (PSG)
             vs.
      ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,
 8
                                 )
 9
                   Defendant. )
10
11
12
           HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
13
14
15
           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KIRK LOUGHEED
16
                      Palo Alto, California
17
                    Friday, November 20, 2015
                           Volume I
18
19
20
21
22
     Reported by:
     CARLA SOARES
23
     CSR No. 5908
24
     Job No. 2187110
25
     Pages 1 - 189
                                                    Page 1
```

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 197-2 Filed 02/16/16 Page 3 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                       SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
 5
     CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
                                 )
                                 )
                   Plaintiff,
6
                                 ) Case No.
 7
                                 ) 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (PSG)
             VS.
8
      ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,
                   Defendant.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
                VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KIRK LOUGHEED,
17
     Volume I, taken on behalf of Defendant, at
     650 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, California, beginning
18
19
     at 9:19 a.m., and ending at 6:15 p.m., on Friday,
20
     November 20, 2015, before CARLA SOARES, Certified
     Shorthand Reporter No. 5908.
21
22
23
24
25
                                                    Page 2
```

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 197-2 Filed 02/16/16 Page 4 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Van Nest representing the defendant Arista Networks.	09:20:06
2	MR. WONG: Ryan Wong from Keker & Van Nest	
3	representing Arista Networks.	
4	MR. NEUKOM: John Neukom representing	
5	Cisco and also the witness.	09:20:16
6	MR. SIMMONS: Joshua Simmons from Kirkland	
7	& Ellis representing Cisco and the witness.	
8	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Thank you.	
9	Will the certified court reporter please	
10	swear in the witness.	09:20:23
11	KIRK LOUGHEED,	
12	having been administered an oath, was examined and	
13	testified as follows:	
14	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Thank you.	
15	Counsel?	09:20:34
16	EXAMINATION	
17	BY MR. FERRALL:	
18	Q Please state your full name.	
19	A Kirk Stewart Lougheed.	
20	Q What's your address, Mr. Lougheed?	09:20:39
21	A My personal address?	
22	Q Yes, please.	
23	A 18691 Casablanca Lane in Saratoga.	
24	Q Have you ever sat for a deposition before?	
25	A Once.	09:20:55
		Page 10

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 197-2 Filed 02/16/16 Page 5 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	BY MR. FERRALL:	15:38:30
2	Q Okay. IBM didn't ask you for permission,	
3	either, correct?	
4	A No.	
5	Q One of the CLI terms in this case is the	15:39:20
6	term "IP address."	
7	Are you familiar with that?	
8	A I'm familiar with the command expression	
9	"IP address."	
10	Q Did you come up with the phrase "IP	15:39:33
11	address"?	
12	A When Cisco came out of Stanford, we were	
13	shipping an IP an Internet protocol only router.	
14	And there was a command "address" that took some	
15	arguments.	15:40:12
16	And after after a while, we started	
17	adding other protocols to the software. The first	
18	one was "DECnet." And since "address" was already	
19	taken to refer to IP functionality, Internet	
20	protocol functionality, we came up with "DECnet	15:40:44
21	address," and then had a DECnet address after it.	
22	That "DECnet address" command could have	
23	very well have said "address," and then DECnet	
24	addresses look different than IP addresses, and we	
25	could have had the software figure out which type of	15:41:11
		Page 129

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 197-2 Filed 02/16/16 Page 6 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	address we were referring to. But we chose "DECnet	15:41:13
2	address."	
3	It became clear that much more that we	
4	were becoming a multi-protocol router. We were	
5	adding other protocols into the box, into the	15:41:27
6	software.	
7	And I had I value I value the	
8	aesthetic of having a symmetric-looking command line	
9	expression, symmetric hierarchy. It was clear we	
10	were heading towards a hierarchy.	15:41:52
11	So at some point after DECnet and perhaps	
12	a few other protocols to make things look very	
13	similar, we started prefacing our IP-only commands	
14	with "IP." And that gave a very what I thought	
15	was a very elegant, symmetric, elegant way of	15:42:16
16	referring to different protocols within a	
17	multi-protocol router.	
18	So that is the history of the "IP address"	
19	command.	
20	Q Okay. My question was simpler. I	15:42:36
21	appreciate that answer. But my question was a	
22	little simpler than that, but let me ask it a	
23	different way.	
24	You had heard of the term "IP address"	
25	before you joined Cisco, hadn't you?	15:42:51
		Page 130

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 197-2 Filed 02/16/16 Page 7 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague and asked	15:42:59
2	and answered.	
3	THE WITNESS: I suppose I had. When one	
4	is talking about different networking protocols, one	
5	needs to clarify which networking protocol one is	15:43:10
6	talking about. So it was probably terminology that	
7	was in the air.	
8	BY MR. FERRALL:	
9	Q Does the same go for "IP host," also? You	
10	had heard that before you joined Cisco?	15:43:29
11	MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Misstates prior	
12	testimony.	
13	THE WITNESS: The original form of the	
14	"host" command was just "host command." It was	
15	another one that had to distinguish, in a	15:43:41
16	multi-protocol world, in a multi-protocol piece of	
17	software, what you were talking about.	
18	It would have looked very odd in a	
19	multi-protocol router that there was one protocol	
20	that wasn't prefaced by a some descriptive	15:44:03
21	keyword.	
22	BY MR. FERRALL:	
23	Q Following up on that, the purpose of your	
24	use of "IP" as the first keyword in that command "IP	
25	host" was to distinguish the protocol that it's	15:44:33
		Page 131

Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 197-2 Filed 02/16/16 Page 8 of 8 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	referring to?	15:44:36
2	A That was the aesthetic choice I made.	
3	MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Mischaracterizes	
4	prior testimony.	
5	THE WITNESS: There were many possible	15:44:49
6	ways of doing it. As I indicated, I could perhaps	
7	take a look at an address and then infer what it	
8	was. But that was not the choice that I made at the	
9	time.	
10	BY MR. FERRALL:	15:45:07
11	Q What were the alternative commands that	
12	you considered for "IP host"?	
13	A "Name." "Name" was certainly one of the	
14	possible candidates. "Network system" or	
15	"system" there are many, many words that one	15:45:51
16	could use to refer to all sorts of different things.	
17	Q Okay. But now you're talking about	
18	alternatives for the word "host," right?	
19	A Um-hum.	
20	Q Okay. You didn't you're not the first	15:46:08
21	one to use the word "host," are you?	
22	A No.	
23	Q I mean, "host" had been used for well	
24	before you joined Cisco to refer to a computer host.	
25	It's a conventional term, right?	15:46:29
		Page 132